IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O. O. C. J.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 354 OF 2004

IN

REVIEW PETITION NO. 33 OF 2004

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2237 OF 1989

Kangorpally Parameswaran Ravi ..... Petitioner.

versus

1. Karthika High School & ors..... Respondents.

.....

Mr. Uday Bobade i/b. Jeetendra Ramugade for the petitioner.
Mr. O.J. Menezes for the Respondents 1 and 2.
Mr. L.T. Sateekar AGP for Respondents 3 and 4.

.....

CORAM ; V.G.PALSHIKAR &
MRS. NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATED; 24TH SEPTEMBER, 2004.

P.C.;

1. The delay caused in filing the Review Petition is condoned for reasons mentioned in the Notice of Motion no. 354 of 2004.

2. Heard the learned counsel on merits of the Review Petition. The writ petition has been disposed of as infructuous, in view of the fact that the petitioner

had, by the time the petition came up for adjudication, dismissed from service. His litigation with the institution is pending and hence the order dismissing the petition as infructuous cannot obstruct the petitioner's right that may flow out of the order, which might be made in that litigation.

3. Hence Notice of Motion as also the Review Petition are rejected.

.....